

**REZONING AND PRELIMINARY DEVELOPMENT PLAN, FINAL PLAT APPROVAL, WILDER, LANE, PLATTE CANYON PARTNERS, LLC, APPLICANT.**

**I. Purpose and Location**

This is a request for Final Development Plan, and Final Plat approval for twenty-four (24) single family lots (patio homes) on a 6.62 acre site. The property contains two contiguous parcels, one located on Platte Canyon Road approximately 1/8 mile south of West Bowles Ave, and one located at 6051 So. Middlefield Road. The west parcel (4.33 acres), owned by Nelson Real Estate Properties, Inc., and under contract to the applicant, is zoned MU (Mixed Use). The east parcel, (2.29 acres), formerly owned by Esther Jurgelonis, is zoned A (Agriculture). It is proposed to rezone both parcels to Residential Planned Development (RPD). The site is bounded on the north by Platte Canyon Square commercial development, on the east and north by Country Club Villas, on the west by Platte Canyon Road, and on the south by the Village in Columbine Valley.

This preliminary application was heard by the Planning and Zoning Commission on September 9, 2014 and by the Board of Trustees on October 21, 2014. The Planning and Zoning Commission recommended favorably with conditions and the Board of Trustees approved the plan with conditions that are contained in Section XI of this report.

**II. Plan and Plat Description**

**A.** Final Development Plan (FDP) is attached and consists of ten sheets

**Sheet 1:** Is a standard cover sheet and includes the title, legal description, standard and special notes, certifications and signature blocks, the applicant's project team and a vicinity map. Sheet 1 also includes the development stipulations chart which follows:

**DEVELOPMENT STIPULATIONS CHART**

| <b>Land Use Tabulation</b> |                                       |                |
|----------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------|
| Land Use:                  | Single Family Residential             |                |
| Maximum Allowable Units:   | 24                                    |                |
| Maximum Allowable Density: | 4.0 DU/Acre                           |                |
| Zoning Designation:        | Residential Planned Development (RPD) |                |
| Existing Zoning:           | Mixed Use (MU) and Agriculture (A)    |                |
| <b>Area Tabulation</b>     |                                       |                |
| Use                        | Area                                  | % of Total     |
| Rights of Way              | 1.155Acres                            | 17.45%         |
| Open Space/Tracts          | 0.963 Acre                            | 14.55%         |
| Lotted Area                | 4.500 Acres                           | 68.00%         |
| <b>Total</b>               | <b>6.617 Acres</b>                    | <b>100.00%</b> |

| <b>Development Stipulations</b>                 |   |
|---|---|
| <b>ACREAGE</b>                                  | <b>6.617 acres</b>  |
| <b>DENSITY</b>                                  | <b>3.63 DU/AC</b>   |
| <b>BUILDING COVERAGE</b>                        | <b>45%</b>  |
| <b>OPEN SPACE-PUBLIC, Common and Private</b>    | <b>38.5%</b>  |
| <b>Building Height</b>                          |   |
| <b>One Story</b>                                | 30'-0"  |
| <b>Two Story (Lots 7-10 only)</b>               | 35'-0"  |
| <b>Setbacks</b>                                 |   |
| South Platte Canyon Road ROW                    | 25'-0"  |
| <u>Front</u>                                    |   |
| Wilder Lane ROW to Residence                    | 10'-0"  |
| Wilder Lane ROW to Garage Facing Street         | 20'-0"  |
| <u>Side</u>                                     | 5'-0"   |
| Rear: Lots 1-5, 12-14, 23, 24                   | 15'-0"  |
| All Other Lots                                  | 10'-0"  |
| <b>Parking</b>                                  |   |
| Off Street (In garages and driveways)           | 96  |
| <b>Signage</b>                                  | <b>Number and Dimensions</b>                              |
| Project Identification                          | One @ Middlefield 4'H x 9'W x 8"D                         |
| Temporary (street, directional, marketing, etc) | To be approved by Town Administrator at appropriate time. |
|   |   |
| <b>Walls, Fences, Hedges</b>                    | <b>Type, Materials, Height</b>                            |
| North Property Line                             | Town Wall, Wood   |
| East Property Line                              | Landscaped Buffer   |
| South Property Line                             | Wood  |
| West Property Line                              | Town Wall & Wrought Iron                                  |
| <b>Exterior Lighting</b>                        | See sheet 9 for typical fixture                           |

(TBD) To be determined

- (1) Other than Town Wall, fences only occur at residence lot lines, not entire perimeter, but no fences will occur at any side lot line between directly adjacent lots.

**Sheet 2:** Is a narrative on the Wilder Lane development.

**Sheet 3:** Shows the site plan including perimeter boundaries, lot layout, access points, road alignment, street cross section, and easements throughout the site.

**Sheet 4:** Shows the final grading plan including proposed elevations, retaining wall heights, floodplain boundaries, inlet and outlet structures, and water quality ponds.

**Sheet 5:** Is the final landscape plan for the west parcels and illustrates the common open space tracts, tree and shrub bed locations, water quality

pond landscaping, perimeter fence locations with proposed types and materials, and Town standard wall along Platte Canyon Road and the commercial property.

- Sheet 6:** Is the final landscape plan for the east parcels and illustrates the common open space tracts, tree and shrub bed locations, water quality pond landscaping, and perimeter fence locations with proposed types and materials. The entry from Middlefield Road and identification signage are also shown.
- Sheet 7:** Shows typical planting notes for the installation of the landscape. It also shows typical lot fencing. There is also a maintenance schedule for the HOA controlled landscape areas.
- Sheet 8:** Show typical seeding notes and specifications.
- Sheet 9:** Shows planting details, pedestal light details, and edging details.
- Sheet 10:** Shows the detail of the fence along with the entry wall/fence at Middlefield Road. The entry community identification sign detail is also on this sheet.

Also included with the submittal were an application form, letter of intent, list of abutting properties, architectural elevations, title work, Phase III Drainage Study, GESC Report, and engineering construction documents.

**B. The Final Plat consists of two (2) Sheets**

**Sheet 1:** Contains the title, vicinity map, legal description, boundary closure report, standard and special notes, and signature blocks and certifications. Sheet 1 also includes the Tract Summary Chart.

| <b>TRACT SUMMARY CHART</b> |                |              |   |       |             |
|----------------------------|----------------|--------------|---|-------|-------------|
| TRACT                      | AREA (SF)      | AREA (AC)    | USE   | OWNER | MAINTENANCE |
| TRACT A                    | 4,875          | 0.112        | OPEN SPACE/<br>DRAINAGE/TRAILS                    | HOA   | HOA         |
| TRACT B                    | 3,790          | 0.087        | OPEN SPACE/<br>DRAINAGE/TRAILS                    | HOA   | HOA         |
| TRACT C                    | 1,464          | 0.034        | OPEN SPACE/<br>DRAINAGE/TRAILS                    | HOA   | HOA         |
| TRACT D                    | 17,989         | 0.0413       | OPEN SPACE/<br>DRAINAGE/TRAILS                    | HOA   | HOA         |
| TRACT E                    | 2,731          | 0.063        | OPEN SPACE/<br>DRAINAGE/TRAILS/ROW<br>RESERVATION | HOA   | HOA         |
| TRACT F                    | 3,444          | 0.079        | OPEN SPACE/<br>DRAINAGE/TRAILS/ROW<br>RESERVATION | HOA   | HOA         |
| TRACT G                    | 1,257          | 0.029        | OPEN SPACE  | HOA   | HOA         |
| TRACT H                    | 3,102          | 0.071        | OPEN SPACE/DRAINAGE                               | HOA   | HOA         |
| TRACT I                    | 1,473          | 0.034        | OPEN SPACE/DRAINAGE                               | HOA   | HOA         |
| TRACT J                    | 1,417          | 0.033        | OPEN SPACE/DRAINAGE                               | HOA   | HOA         |
| <b>TOTAL TRACT AREA</b>    | <b>41,541</b>  | <b>0.954</b> |   |       |             |
| <b>TOTAL LOT AREA</b>      | <b>196,635</b> | <b>4.514</b> |   |       |             |
| <b>TOTAL ROW AREA</b>      | <b>50,057</b>  | <b>1.149</b> |   |       |             |
| <b>TOTAL SITE AREA</b>     | <b>288,233</b> | <b>6.617</b> |   |       |             |

This subdivision plat contains 24 lots, 10 tracts and 1 road.

**Sheet 2:** Is the plat map and shows the perimeter boundary, lots and tracts with dimensions, easements with dimensions and purpose, and record information on adjacent property owners.

**III. Character of Adjacent Property**

The site is bordered on the north by a commercial shopping center, on the east by single family residential (Country Club Villas), on the south by single family residential (The Village in Columbine Valley). East of the site, across Middlefield Road, is the Town Hall and Willowcroft Manor, a single family development under construction. The area to the west of the site, across Platte Canyon Road, is a mixture of vacant land and developed single family residential in unincorporated Arapahoe County.

#### **IV. Comment of Referral Agencies**

The Final Development Plan with relevant supporting documents was sent to the following agencies:

Colorado Department of Transportation, Arapahoe County, City of Littleton, Littleton Fire District, Denver Water, Platte Canyon Water and Sanitation District, Century Link, Xcel Energy and the HOA's for Country Club Villas, The Village in Columbine Valley, Brookhaven Estates, and Villa Avignon. A Development Status Bulletin was also posted on the Town Web Site.

Comments received to date are:

#### **Colorado Department of Transportation**

The CDOT comments received were the same comments from the preliminary plan with a few additions. These included the addition of an overhead streetlight at Wilder Lane and Platte Canyon Road, deceleration lane dimensions, and curb dimensions. The applicant and staff will address these comments in the final construction documents.

#### **City of Littleton**

We offer the following comment regarding this final plan:

The required 'Town Wall' identified on Sheet 5 and detailed on sheet 10 is located within a proposed 8' utility easement and an existing 8' utility easement in the City of Littleton (COL). The required footings cannot encroach into the COL portion of the utility easement without receiving consent from the affected utilities.

#### **Xcel Energy**

The comments from Xcel Energy were their standard comments on a proposed subdivision

#### **Platte Canyon Water and Sanitation District (Summary)**

The comments from Platte Canyon Water and Sanitation were their standard comments on a proposed subdivision

#### **Arapahoe County**

The County Planning Division had no comments. The County Public Works Dept. stated:

Proposed town wall is 6' high, verify that it remains outside of the sight triangles for Platte Canyon Road.

#### **Country Club Villas HOA**

The following comments are submitted by Country Club Villas residents in response to your request dated 11/24/2014 for feedback on the Wilder Lane final plan submission:

#### **Lack of Off-Street Parking**

- There is currently no provision for off-street (visitor) parking in the proposed plan. It is felt this creates both a safety and convenience issue caused by the narrow street (only 32 feet wide) and the high density number of units (24 units for a density of 3.65/acre). Adding visitor spaces would reduce the need for crowded

on-street parking and parking in driveways. Our experience on Augusta Drive is that visitors and maintenance people do not use our driveways but instead park on the street. Narrow streets seem to be more acceptable in patio home developments where visitor parking spaces substitute for the need to park on the street. We believe that off-street parking would be very important to residents. In addition, there is only one street in Wilder Lane and it is a "thoroughfare", making it much more congested than a cul-de-sac. People walking, biking, and using golf carts will also be competing for use of the narrow street.

- We certainly have precedent and an obvious perceived need for off-street parking in this type of development within Columbine Valley. There are currently two comparable patio home developments within the Town that have provided significant (Avignon – 39 spaces for 45 units; Willowcroft – 33 spaces for 41 units) off-street parking spaces. Columbine Villas, also a high density and narrow street duplex style community, has an even higher relative ratio of off-street parking spaces. Even the Country Club Villas community, having a street width of 42 feet and a density of only 3.2/acre, gets very crowded at times. The new standard for street width in the Columbine Valley Land Use Manual is 42 feet.
- Provision of off-street parking utilizing dedicated open space, while certainly an option, would not be the ideal solution in our opinion. We feel it important to preserve the already limited open space in order to support the open feel of the community. A better approach would be to provide additional space by reducing the lot size in certain limited areas and/or reducing the density.

### **The Village HOA**

There has been no formal comments from the HOA on the proposed Final Development Plan and Final Plat.

Beyond the comments from the HOA, many of the residents that share the property line have emailed or sent letters in favor of the proposed development. The residents along the south property line of the Wilde Lane site have all signed agreements for the proposed changes to their property lines and fences.

**Jere & Jackie Maxwell, 1 Village Drive:** After meeting with Jay Neese and going over the Fence and Wall agreement to include clearing out the bushes and shrubs on my property, my wife and I support the development of the Wilder property.

**Joe Young, 15 Village Drive:** I'd like to voice my support for the project. The ownership group has been very proactive in presenting the plan to the bordering neighbors. The fence that they plan to build on the south side of the development is acceptable to us and, based on several conversations, I believe my neighbors agree. We also like the idea of the New Century Modern homes that are planned and believe it will increase the value of the homes in the Village.

### **Brookhaven Estates HOA**

The following comments are from the Brookhaven HOA concerning the development of the Wilder Lane property. The comments are intended to highlight the unique and risky

nature of Wilder Lane – rare and different architecture consistent throughout the development, smaller homes, limited open space to balance the density (unlike Brookhaven's combination of patio homes and larger estate homes with a significant open space) – in an attempt to prompt immediate changes and consideration of alternative actions if sales are slow or limited. Comments have been presented from major to minor concerns.

1. Parking (major issue): There are no provisions for overnight parking. Villa Avignon's dispersed parking areas are well used and reduce clutter throughout the neighborhood. Additionally, prohibiting parking on the streets significantly reduces the potential impact if an emergency vehicle is unable to pass as a result of cars parked on both sides of the road. At least three multiple-car parking areas should be established in order to enhance the appearance of the Wilder Lane and improve safety.
2. Planned HOA (Major issue if no HOA, not an issue if there is an HOA): Documentation indicates in some places that responsibility will fall to the owners and in others that the responsibility will be the owners or HOA. We believe an HOA is essential to sustaining a high-quality outward appearance of a neighborhood (e.g., consistent and adequate lot landscaping, upkeep of common areas and architectural review) and strongly recommend an HOA be made a requirement for development.
3. Access to/from Platte Canyon (potentially major issue): Two different approaches are shown in the drawings, one limiting turns from Platte Canyon to right turn when travelling north and no access when travelling from the north, the other with no limitations leaving or entering Wilder Lane. Limiting access is desirable from a traffic flow perspective. Additionally, after the streets are open, traffic flow through Wilder Lane on busy mornings must be monitored. If traffic becomes excessive as drivers turn into Wilder Lane and use it as a path to Middlefield and then to Bowles, a back-up plan should be available for implementation to restrict right turns into Wilder Lane during peak traffic hours.
4. Landscaping (minor issue): Generally, the landscaping plans are well thought out and acceptable. However, three Aspen trees are planned at the entrance along Middlefield. Aspens have a history of living for eight to ten years at this elevation and then needing replacement. Failure of the homeowners to remove and replace the trees, a costly process, will reflect on Columbine Valley. Another tree choice may reduce the likelihood of a relatively short-term cost to the homeowners (and potential negative appearance) as the trees deteriorate. Are there species options that would reduce this risk?

5. Style (minor issue): The Mid-Century Modern style of homes is a concern, but not a major one. Before the review process by the Village of Columbine, several Mid-Century architecture homes designed by the same architect were visited and the impression of the workmanship and style was positive. However, these homes were located in a neighborhood consisting of a variety of styles, and the Mid-Century architecture was a positive addition to the mix. In contrast, Wilder Lane will consist of only Mid-Century modern homes, creating a market risk (and therefore, a Columbine Valley risk) due to the repetitiveness of the homes. The impact could be slow sales or, ultimately, failure of the community. If this occurs, what actions are possible to save Wilder Lane and avoid a major issue for the existing homeowners of Columbine Valley?

In conclusion, Brookhaven welcomes Wilder Lane if provisions to address the above are made. Our close proximity to the development ties us to the success of Wilder Lane. Under no circumstances do we want to see it become, as one person said, "Double-Wider Lane".

### **Villa Avignon HOA**

The following comments have been made by Villa Avignon residents regarding your 11/24/14 request for feedback on the Wilder Lane proposed development:

1. There is a concern about the absence of any off street parking areas for vehicles. It is felt spaces for garage overflow parking should be incorporated into the plan in order to reduce on-street guest parking and minimizing driveway parking from residents. Both Villa Avignon and Willowcroft, which are similar patio style developments, have provided off street parking and it is recommended that Wilder Lane follow that lead based on a high density level and narrower street widths.
2. There remains some concern about the architectural style of the homes being out of character with Columbine Valley.
3. Additional traffic generation resulting from the development on Middlefield Rd is also mentioned as a potential problem.

The remaining agencies had no comments or did not respond.

### **V. Landscaping and Screening Plans**

The Final Development Plan includes locations of trees and planting beds including call outs for species and sizes.

Fencing locations are also shown on the plan. It appears that the applicant and the Village residents along the south boundary have reached an agreement concerning a fence. The treatment along the north side of the property to be the a 6' Town Wall bordering the commercial center parking area and 6' wood fence for the north border on lots 8 and 7. There is existing wall along the north boundary of the Jurgelonis site



(shared Country Club Villas wall). On the west side of the property the plans show the continuation of the Town wall along South Platte Canyon Road. Fencing is called out in the Development Stipulations Chart along the east side bordering Country Club Villas but is not shown on the plan. As a condition of approval from the Planning and Zoning Commission on September 9, 2014, the applicant and Country Club Villas HOA were to form a maintenance agreement for their shared wall. The applicant will address this in their presentation.

**VI. Traffic**

**A. Traffic Impact Report**

The preliminary application included a Traffic Impact Study prepared by the Town's traffic consultant. The study analyzed the existing traffic conditions in the project area and estimated the projected traffic volumes and peak hour impacts for the area after the project is built out. The proposed movements from Wilder Lane to Middlefield Road are a full movement, while the movements onto Platte Canyon Road are proposed as right-in/right-out. The existing and projected impacts are summarized as follows:

| <b>Existing and Projected Traffic Volumes<br/>ADT (Average Daily Trips)</b> |   |
|---|---|
| <b>Existing (2012)</b>  | <b>16,000 Platte Canyon Road<br/>2350 Middlefield R. (with<br/>Willowcroft build out)</b> |
| <b>Projected-Wilder<br/>Lane<br/>Build Out</b>                              | <b>132</b>  |

The 20 year projection of daily trips for Platte Canyon Road is not available at this time. The Town's traffic consultant will prepare the Phase II traffic impact analysis for Wild Plum Farms and the 20 year projections will be available in that report. In any event the projected 132 daily trips for Wilder Lane will not have a significant impact of the future Platte Canyon volumes.

The best indicators of traffic congestion are the AM and PM traffic counts. The existing counts and projected counts are as follows:

| <b>AM/PM Peak Hour- Platte Canyon Road at Wilder Lane</b> |  |
|---|--|
| <b>2012 Existing<br/>(AM/PM)</b>                          | <b>1367/1447 Vehicles Per Hour (VPH)</b> |
| <b>Projected Wilder<br/>Lane<br/>(AM/PM)</b>              | <b>7/6 VPH</b>                           |

| <b>AM/PM Peak Hour-Wilder Lane At Middlefield Road</b> |                    |
|--|--------------------|
| <b>2012 Existing<br/>(AM/PM)</b>                       | <b>126/170 VPH</b> |

|                              |              |
|------------------------------|--------------|
| <b>Projected Wilder Lane</b> | <b>13/10</b> |
| <b>20 Year Projected</b>     | <b>N/A</b>   |

The traffic study also analyzed the proposed intersections Level of Service (LOS) ratings and projected future LOS ratings. The Level of Service rating for a street or intersection can range from “A” (very little delay) to “F” (significant delays). The existing and projected LOS (AM/PM) for the proposed intersection is:

|  |            |
|--|------------|
| <b>Level of Service (LOS) Platte Canyon Road at Bowles</b> |            |
| <b>Existing Level Out AM/PM</b>                            | <b>D/E</b> |
| <b>20 Year Projection</b>                                  | <b>N/A</b> |

|   |            |
|---|------------|
| <b>Level of Service (LOS) Wilder Lane at Platte Canyon Road</b> |            |
| <b>Projected Build Out AM/PM</b>                                | <b>C/B</b> |

|   |            |
|---|------------|
| <b>Level of Service (LOS) Wilder Lane at Middlefield Road</b> |            |
| <b>Projected Build Out AM/PM</b>                              | <b>A/A</b> |

**B. Cut Through Traffic**

Concerns have been expressed about the potential for cut through traffic by vehicles northbound on Platte Canyon Road in the morning peak hours. The staff does not accept the argument that cut through traffic would be a serious problem. If it were, there would be evidence that cut troughs are occurring at a high level through the Village subdivision. As part of the Wild Plum Farms Phase I Traffic Study, the turn movement at Platte Canyon Rd. and Village Court were monitored (March 2014). During the AM peak hour(s), 7:00-9:00AM, there was a total of 15 vehicles turning right from Platte Canyon onto Village Court. If we assume the worst possible cut through case and that all of the right turning drivers were cutting through to Middlefield and then to Bowles, (no Village residents or service vehicles) the total calculates to one vehicle cutting through every 8 minutes. If we narrow the analysis to the Peak Period (the 45 minute period between 7:30AM and 8:15 AM), the total right turns from Platte Canyon onto Village Court was 12 vehicles or an average of one cut through trip every 5 minutes. This level of cut through traffic does not constitute a significant problem; it is at most, a minor inconvenience.

**C. Platte Canyon Road**

1. Platte Canyon Road is a State Highway. The highway right-of-way is within the Town of Columbine Valley and is classified as an Arterial in the Town's street plan. The highway right-of-way does not meet the standard for an Arterial. Both the Town and CDOT are requesting dedication or reservation of land for additional right of way along the frontage of Wilder Lane.

1. The plan calls for a trail along the frontage from the access point to the north boundary of the site. Both CDOT and the City of Littleton have recommended the continuation of the sidewalk that now extends from Bowles Ave., to the site boundary. The staff is opposed to the extension of the sidewalk all the way to the south property line because it would lead pedestrians to a point where no sidewalk exists and rather than go back to the crossing at the Bowles signal, they would cross the highway at an unsafe location.

## **VII. Variances**

There have been a number of variances that were approved and agreed to during the preliminary development plan process. The final development plan and plat reflect these changes:

1. The front setback from the Wilder Lane ROW has been set to 10' (Town Standard is 25').
2. The side setbacks has been set to 5' from the property line (Town Standard is 15').
3. The rear setbacks have been set to 10' on Lots 6-11 and 15-22 (Town Standard is 15').
4. The proposed Wilder Lane ROW has been set to 32'. (Town Standard is 50')
5. The proposed Wilder Lane pavement width has been set to 26'. (Town Standard is 36')

The applicant has requested a new variance as it pertains to the town wall.

1. **Town Wall:** The wall shall be wall shall be 6' high along Platte Canyon & Shopping Center side. Brick to match existing wall to the south as close as possible. The interior of the wall is proposed to be 8x8 scored concrete block painted color to match wood fence without the concrete pilaster cap.

## **VIII. Report of the Town Engineer**

At the time of that the staff report was completed, the Engineers report was not yet finalized. The finalized report of the Town Engineer will be available at the Planning and Zoning Commission meeting.

## **IX. Findings**

The staff has reviewed the final development plan and plat, the supporting documents and has conducted site visits. Based on these reviews and site visits the following findings are presented.

### **A. Master Plan Consistency**

The Master Plan density designation for this site is Low-High with densities from 2.4 and higher. The west parcel of the site is zoned MUPD (Mixed Use Planned Development) which allows a range of land uses including residential. The east parcel is zoned R-A which will require rezoning to RPD (Residential Planned Development). The applicant is proposing rezoning to RPD for both properties.

The proposed use of the property, single family residential and the proposed density is consistent with the Master Plan designation.

## **B. Compatibility with Adjacent Residential Development**

There are two residential areas in close proximity to the proposed development. The proposed development compares with these residential areas as follows:

### **Density and Lot Size:**

As proposed Wilder Lane would have a density of 3.6 DU's per acre with lot sizes ranging from 7,188-11,085 square feet. The average lot size on the western portion is 8,914 square feet and on the eastern portion, 7,360 square feet.

Country Club Villas lies immediately to the east and consists of 8 single family homes on 2.5 acres, a density of 3.1 DU Acre. The only access is from Middlefield Road. The lot sizes range from 7,600 to 9,700 S.F. with an average of 8,500 S.F. The Village lies immediately to the south and consists of 60 single family homes on 25.15 acres a density of 2.4 DU's per acre. The lot sizes are estimated to range from 8,300 to 21,800 S.F. with an average of 13,300 S.F.

### **Architectural Style:**

The architectural exhibits attached to the final plan illustrate a contemporary style that varies from the adjacent neighborhoods. The staff does not critique structural architecture but we have visited individual sites developed by the applicant and note that actual visits can give a different impression than the plan exhibits.

The applicant has shown illustrations of four models.

## **C. Landscaping and Screening**

The landscape plan indicates screening on the south, west and north property lines using fencing. The Plan specifies the Town Wall along the west property line. The Town wall will be constructed adjacent to the commercial area parking lot. The proposed wall will be the standard brick on the outside of the exterior facing Platte Canyon Road and the parking lot. The interior of the wall (facing the Wilder Lane homes) will be 8" x 8" scored concrete block painted to match the wood fence color. The applicant is also proposing a variance to eliminate the concrete pilaster cap and allow for 8" x 8" block facing the interior of the wall in lieu of the standard brick. Staff would like to see the town wall match the existing Villages wall to the south of Wilder Lane on the exterior and interior of the project as well as to include the concrete pilaster cap.

The town wall concludes at Lot 9, where a 6' wood fence is proposed along the commercial property (along lot 7 and 8). On the north property line that borders the Country Club Villas, the proposed plans will leave the existing wall to screen the proposed development. A shared use/maintenance

agreement appears to have been reached between the applicant and the Country Club Villas HOA.

The landscape for the site is well designed. Some trees have been identified as being not being resilient for the setting in which they were placed. These trees (including the proposed Aspens) will be changed by the applicant to a hardier species that will perform better in an HOA controlled setting. Staff and the applicant will be working closely on the landscape construction documents to see that the landscape design is correct for the intended use.

The proposed entry at Middlefield Road includes a project identification sign. The applicant has signaled that the proposed sign will be a low profile sign with a gray stucco finish, in lieu of the proposed detail in the final development plan. The sign will also be up-lit from the adjacent landscape bed. The proposed landscape plan shows a 50' fence on either side of the north and south property lines going towards Wilder Lane. The applicant is now proposing only going 35' on either side to the side of the house. In lieu of the masonry wall with wood top, the applicant is now proposing only a 6' gray stained wood fence. This will leave a more open and inviting entrance. A plan graphic of this change has been attached to the end of the staff report. Both Staff and the applicant feel that this alternative is a better alternative to the earlier proposed entry.

#### **D. Traffic Impact, Access and Streets**

1. The traffic impact of 132 VPD (Vehicles per Day) generated by a built out Wilder Lane with would be minimal. It is projected that 45 trips per day would exit or access Platte Canyon Road which is presently carrying in excess of 16,000 VPD). There would be a projected 87 trips per day onto Middlefield Road which will be carrying approximately 2350 VPD after Willowcroft builds out.

The-AM Peak Hour projection is 20 trips, 7 onto Platte Canyon and 13 onto Middlefield (10 northbound and 3 southbound.) If the access to Platte Canyon was a full access the numbers do not change significantly.

2. Platte Canyon Road is currently at near capacity. The ability to alleviate the critical problem requires additional right-of-way. Both CDOT and the Town staff are requesting dedication or reservations of additional right of way along the Wilder Lane frontage. The applicant is agreeable to provide the necessary right of way for any widening of Platte Canyon Road at no cost to the Town but would prefer to leave it as a reservation at this time.
3. The recommendation by City of Littleton to extend the existing sidewalk from the north boundary is not supported by Town staff for safety reasons. The applicant is now agreeable to a sidewalk extending from Tract H (Drainage Easement) to their north property line. The staff is agreeable to this revision.

4. On Thursday, January 8, we were informed that the K.B. Homes project across Platte Canyon Road is proposed to line up with the Wilder Lane access. This could affect the configuration of the Wilder Lane access. Until we meet with the applicants from K.B. Homes and CDOT, the actual configuration cannot be determined.

### **C. Parking**

At the P&Z hearing and Trustee's meeting on the preliminary development plan, parking was a major point of contention. Both the staff and the area HOA's expressed concern. Based on the comments received on the final plan, parking remains a major issue that has not been resolved.

It is the applicant's position that the amount of parking is sufficient. There will be four spaces per unit of off-street parking (garages and driveways). In addition, by increasing the street R-O-W from 26' to 32', on street parking can be allowed.

The staff concern is that allowing on-street parking could create a major problem for snow removal and other private and public maintenance activities. Visitor parking has many uses: maintenance crew staging, delivery vehicle parking, guest parking, overnight off-street parking, snow storage, construction services parking and staging, and several other uses. The comments of the HOA's reflect that concern but also maintain that additional off-street parking is an amenity that should be provided for patio home development and they cite the visitor parking in Villa Avignon and Willowcroft as examples.

For these reasons, the Board of Trustees added a condition to their approval of the preliminary application:

***"Applicant agrees to provide additional off-street parking where possible in the event it is deemed necessary by the Town."***

Because of this condition the staff requested that the applicant provide an illustration of how the additional off-street parking would be provided in the event the Trustees require the parking to be included in the initial construction phase. The applicant has agreed to show how additional off-street parking could be provided even though they do not feel it is necessary.

The final decision concerning off-street parking will be made by the Trustee's. The staff suggests there are a number of options the Trustees can consider:

1. Concur with the applicant's position that additional parking is not necessary and eliminate the previous condition. A variance on this option would be to agree that the parking is not necessary at this time but require funds to be escrowed with the Wilder Lane HOA in the event it is required at a later date. If parking is not necessary within 2 years of the warranty period of public improvements, the money can be returned for HOA use.

2. Require the off- street parking to be provided in the initial construction phase. Again there are variations:
  - a. Reduce the common open space by the amount necessary to provide the parking.
  - b. Require the same percentage of common open space (14.55%) to be maintained.

The above options address the “amenity” concern raised by the area HOA's. The staff is still concerned about the effect that on- street parking would have during adverse weather conditions. The Planning Commission and Trustee's should consider restricting parking to one side of the street only and no parking during snow removal operations. This action would comply with the Town Regulations.

#### **D. Drainage**

At the time of that the staff report was completed, the Engineers report was not yet finalized. The finalized report including the findings of the Town Engineer will be available at the Planning and Zoning Commission meeting.

#### **E. Other**

1. Staff had concerns with the elevations of homes in the Wilder Lane development as they compared to the Villages to the south. The applicant has shared the elevation difference as well as the planned improvements adjacent to their properties (fencing and walls). The applicant has provided the town with signed documentation from each of the adjacent neighbors stating that they are in agreement with the proposed changes.
  - a. The applicant has received approval from:
    - i. Gunlikson – 19 Village Drive
    - ii. Hossfeld – 3 Village Drive
    - iii. Logan – 17 Village Drive
    - iv. Maxwell – 1 Village Drive
    - v. Wieder – 5 Village Drive
    - vi. Young – 15 Village Drive
2. The staff has found several minor errors and omissions and items that need clarification. These are not items that have a substantial effect and are easily corrected. They are spelled out in our detailed Long Letter to the applicant.

#### **X. Planning Commission and Board of Trustees Action**

At their hearings on September 9, 2014 and October 21, 2014, the Planning and Zoning Commission and Board of Trustees voted to recommend the preliminary case favorably subject to the conditions stated in Section XI and the staff conditions.

#### **XI. Recommendations**

Based on the findings in Section IX and the prior conditions by the **Planning and Zoning Commission and Board of Trustees** the staff offers the following recommendations:

- A. The conditions specified by the Planning and Zoning Commission from September 9, 2014:
1. Water detention/quality ponds landscape plans. **Applicant has provided.**
  2. Complete review of building setbacks: (15' at lots 1-5, 12-14, 23, 24). **Applicant has provided.**
  3. Site elevations comparing building heights between the Village and Wilder Lane and roof heights comparing the Village and Wilder Lane and Country Club Villas and Wilder Lane. **The applicant has shown elevation differences to the Village HOA owners and has their approval on the elevations for the site. The applicant has provided scale comparisons to the Country Club Villas homes on sheet A4 of the architectural renderings. These plans are in the set attached.**
  4. Detailed landscape plan of entrance fencing/monuments and landscaping along Middlefield Road. **Applicant has provided.**
  5. Detail concrete path/sidewalk from Platte Canyon entrance/open space north to shopping center. **Applicant has provided.**
  6. Better definition of architectural style and materials, including 4-5 actual front and rear elevations/rendering of models of homes to be built. **Applicant has provided front and rear elevations and will provide samples and models at the meeting.**
  7. Commitment of HOA to maintain all open space including front and back yards and improvements on open space. **Applicant has provided note on plan.**
  8. Conduct discussions with neighboring HOAs regarding maintenance of perimeter walls and fences. If no agreement is reached prior to Final plan, conditions will be imposed. **It appears that there has been an agreement on this issue, the applicant will discuss the agreement at the meeting.**
- B. The conditions specified by the Board of Trustees on October 21, 2014:
1. Complete the construction of perimeter Town Wall within 6 months of issuance of permits for site construction. **Applicant has agreed.**
  2. Off street parking shall be added if is deemed necessary by the town. **Applicant has agreed, if deemed necessary after project is built-out. An exhibit is also provide on where that will be located in the event that the town requires off street parking.**
  3. Staff recommendations and conditions as stated by the Planning and Zoning Commission. **Applicant has agreed.**

C. Staff Recommendations:



1. Revise the plat and plan to eliminate the errors and omissions either prior to the Board of Trustees meeting or prior to recording, as appropriate. **The applicant has agreed.**
2. For the final plan meeting, the applicant's designer shall prepare a street elevation for the commission and staff review. **The applicant has agreed.**
3. The staff recommends that the Planning Commission or Board of Trustees consider the parking issues brought up by the Home Owners Associations and how to address the issue based on the recommendations provided. **The applicant does not agree with the need for off street parking and will discuss further at the meeting. The board should at a minimum consider restricting parking to one side of the street and no parking during snow storms.**
4. Address the staff concern regarding the transition from masonry to wood along the north boundary (with Country Club Villas). **The applicant will address this issue at the meeting.**
5. Construct the town wall to match the Villages wall. This would include both the interior and exterior sides of the wall as well as the concrete pilaster cap. **The applicant will address this issue at the meeting.**
6. Prior to commencement of initial construction, the applicant should prepare a construction management plan for approval by the Town Administrator. **Applicant has agreed.**